

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,405	09/14/2000	Ismail Eldumiati	50944.7300	1292	
25700	7590 03/18/2004		EXAMI	NER	
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP			KUMAR, I	KUMAR, PANKAJ	
	LAMEDA AVENUE, SU IEJO, CA 92691	JITE 360	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	,		2631	9	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	, / _	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/662,405	ELDUMIATI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pankaj Kumar	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE OF  Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  If the period for reply sepcified a  If NO period for reply is specified  Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d above, the maximum statutory period w extended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND date of this communication, even if timel	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on <u>14 Se</u>	entember 2000.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this applicat	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-48 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	quest that any objection to the ogselvents of the corrections are corrected as the corrections.	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/662,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

- 1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).
- 2. Misnumbered claims 34 to 40 have been renumbered as claims 33 to 39 since there was no claim 33.
- 3. There were three claim 40s so they have been renumbered to claims 39, 40 and 41.
- 4. There were also two claim 44s so they had to be renumbered as well.
- 5. The above renumbering required claims 41 to 46 to be renumbered to claims 42 to 48

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim is 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the status of call waiting". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2631

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 2, 5- 32, 34-36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dudek et al. USPN 5,208,812.
- 11. As per claim 1, Dudek teaches a method of establishing a connection between a first modem and a second modem, said method comprising connecting said first modem (Dudek fig. 1: 7) and said second modem (Dudek fig. 1: 11 handset is a modem since it modulates and demodulates voice) via a telephone line (Dudek fig. 1: telecommunications network); performing a handshaking sequence (Dudek col. 2 line 59 to col. 3 line 20: "handshake") in which identification data (Dudek figs. 33, 34: ID OK) is transmitted between said first modem (Dudek
- fig. 1: 7) and said second modem (Dudek fig. 1: 11 handset is a modem since it modulates and demodulates voice); and opening a primary data channel (Dudek col. 4 lines 39-40: "second logical communication channel").
- As per claim 2, Dudek teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said identification data comprises information selected from the group consisting of a platform identifier, a controller revision, a DSP revision, and a firmware revision (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ...").

Art Unit: 2631

13. As per claim 5, Dudek teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising optimizing said connection based on said identification data (Dudek col. 2 line 59 to col. 3 line 20: optimizing by not transmitting when system realizes that the handshake does not exist).

- 14. As per claim 6, Dudek teaches a method of communicating from a first modem to a second modem comprising: opening a primary data channel (Dudek col. 7 lines 23-42: "first logic channel"); thereafter opening a second logical channel (Dudek col. 7 lines 23-42: "second logic channel"; paragraph 136: D channel); and using said second logical channel to transmit diagnostic/maintenance data (Dudek col. 7 lines 23-42: "quality of transmission of the second logical channel"; paragraph 136: D channel).
- 15. As per claim 7, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises customer platform identification data (Dudek paragraph 136: "The D channel code word also contains a LID field"; "the code placed in the LID field will be a base identification code (BID),").
- 16. As per claim 8, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises customer code revision identification data (Dudek paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).
- 17. As per claim 9, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises modem initialization data (Dudek col. 23 lines 65-68: "Once this synchronization has been obtained, the contents of the D channel can be decoded and the process of link initiation can begin.").

Application/Control Number: 09/662,405 Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

18. As per claim 10, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises a remote query by said first modem of the responses of said second modem to AT commands (Dudek figs. 33, 34: querying to see if ID is ok or lost).

- 19. As per claim 11, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises information regarding the status of call waiting (Dudek checking ID status of the call between the base station and the cordless phone; if the base station receives a call, then the call is inherently waiting until the cordless phone answers the call).
- 20. As per claim 12, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises remote network management information (Dudek: handshaking requires managing information, such as ID, with a network of at least 2 devices where one device is remote from the other device).
- 21. As per claim 13, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises system configuration data (Dudek configuring for 66 or 68 bits).
- As per claim 14, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said transferring step further comprises: transmitting a command from said first modem to said second modem; and transmitting a response from said second modem to said first modem in response to said command (Dudek figs. 33, 34 shows communication between 11 and 3).
- 23. As per claim 15, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises firmware revision data transmitted from said first modem to said second modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ..."; paragraph 15: "Depending on the

Art Unit: 2631

burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).

- 24. As per claim 16, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises uniquely generated call identification data (Dudek paragraph 139: "The system controller 79 assembles the D channel code words being transmitted by the base station 3, and examines the PID and LID fields.").
- As per claim 17, Dudek teaches the method of claim 16 wherein said call identification data comprises time information (Dudek paragraph 139: "If the system controller 79 does not detect its own PID code within a time-out period, then in step H5 the handset 11 will conclude that the received call from the base station 3 is not intended for it, and it will return to step H1.").
- As per claim 18, Dudek teaches the method of claim 16 where in said call identification data comprises information regarding the types of moderns being connected (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ...").
- As per claim 19, Dudek teaches the method of claim 16 where in said call identification data comprises information regarding which telephone line is being used (Dudek paragraph 139: "If the system controller 79 does not detect its own PID code within a time-out period, then in step H5 the handset 11 will conclude that the received call from the base station 3 is not intended for it, and it will return to step H1.").
- 28. As per claim 20, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said second logical channel is used simultaneously with said primary data channel (Dudek col. 7 lines 23-42: first

logic channel and second logic channel are being used simultaneously; col. 21 lines 27 to 34: channels B and D are used simultaneously).

- 29. As per claim 21, Dudek teaches the method of claim 20 further comprising: analyzing said primary data channel and said second logical channel for usage; and prioritizing said primary data channel if both said primary data channel and said second logical channel are simultaneously used (Dudek col. 21 lines 27 to 34: B channel given priority to have the speech data; both channels are inherently analyzed for usage; col. 35 last paragraph: amount of data currently stored in the stores).
- 30. As per claim 22, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 further comprising transmitting identification data on said second logical channel (Dudek col. 21 line 42: "identification ... codes").
- 31. As per claim 23, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein the diagnostic/maintenance data is used to optimize the connection of the first modem and the second modem (Dudek col. 2 line 59 to col. 3 line 20: optimizing by not transmitting when system realizes that the handshake does not exist).
- As per claim 24, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 further comprising sending AT commands from the first modem to the second modem on the second logical channel; and sending a response to said AT commands from said second modem to said first modem (Dudek figs. 33, 34: querying to see if ID is ok or lost and also sending mux).
- 33. As per claim 25, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 further comprising sending AT commands from the second modem to the first modem on the second logical channel; and

Art Unit: 2631

sending a response to said AT commands from said first modem to said second modem (Dudek figs. 33, 34: querying to see if ID is ok or lost and also sending mux).

- 34. As per claim 26, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises a remote query by said first modem of the responses of said second modem to diagnostic query commands (Dudek figs. 33, 34: querying to see if ID is ok or lost is from remote).
- 35. As per claim 27, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 wherein said diagnostic/maintenance data comprises a random or pseudo-random number which indexes into a database uniquely or pseudo-uniquely identifying the generated call conditions (Dudek paragraph 150: "The new LID code is an arbitrarily chosen code which identifies this specific link between the base station 3 and the handset 11."; fig. 8: arrangement of data in a data structure).
- 36. As per claim 28, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 further comprising: sending a query command from the first modem to the second modem on said second logical channel; and sending a response to said query commands from said second modem to said first modem (Dudek col. 21 lines 27 to 52: D channel; "enable one part to recognize the other"; "permit or refuse to permit a communication link").
- 37. As per claim 29, Dudek teaches the method of claim 6 further comprising: sending a query command from the second modem to the first modem on said second logical channel; and sending a response to said query commands from said first modem to said second modem (Dudek col. 21 lines 27 to 52: D channel; "enable one part to recognize the other"; "permit or refuse to permit a communication link").

Art Unit: 2631

38. As per claim 30, Dudek teaches a method of identifying a modem, said method comprising: placing a call by said modem to a remote device; entering a physical handshaking process; transmitting a modem manufacturer parameter by said modem to said remote device during said physical handshaking process (discussed above up to here); and completing said physical handshaking process to establish a data communication session between said modem and said remote device (Dudek col. 6 line 56 to col. 7 line 14: 'burst ... completed before transmission of the next burst").

- 39. As per claim 31, Dudek teaches the method of claim 30, wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a DSP revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).
- 40. As per claim 32, Dudek teaches the method of claim 30, wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a firmware revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).
- 41. As per claim 34, Dudek teaches a method of identifying a modem, said modem being in communication with a host, said method comprising: placing a call by said modem to a remote device (Dudek paragraph 62: "By pressing an appropriate key 33 the user can accept a call to the handset 11 from a base station 3"), completing a physical handshaking process to establish a data communication session between said modem and said remote device (Dudek col. 6 line 56 to col.

Art Unit: 2631

7 line 14: 'burst ... completed before transmission of the next burst"); establishing an error correction process between said modem and said remote device, said error correction process having a primary channel, for exchanging data between said host and said remote device, and a secondary channel (Dudek paragraph 6: "an arrangement is provided in which two logical channels are multiplexed together, with signals of one logical channel being encoded to enable error detection, and detected errors in this logical channel being monitored and used as a measure of the extent to which the other channel is exposed to errors."); transmitting a modem manufacturer parameter by said modem to said remote device via said secondary channel (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ...").

- 42. As per claim 35, Dudek teaches the method of claim 34, wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a DSP revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).
- As per claim 36, Dudek teaches the method of claim 34 wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a firmware revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).
- 44. As per claim 38, Dudek teaches a method of authenticating an identification process for use by a modem in communication with a remote device, said method comprising: receiving a

Art Unit: 2631

random code by said modem from said remote device (Dudek col. 3 lines 21-30; col. 4 lines 4-19: random signal received); scrambling said random code, in accordance with a predetermined scrambling process, to generate a scrambled code (Dudek col. 3 lines 21-30: "information is coded to enable error detection"); and sending said scrambled code to said remote device to confirm compatibility (Dudek col. 3 lines 21-30; col. 4 lines 4-19: random signal sent and synchronization confirmed).

- As per claim 39, Dudek teaches the method of claim 38 further comprising: transmitting a modem manufacturer parameter by said modem to said remote device after said sending (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 135, 136: LID is transmitted in the D channel; D channel is transmitted after S synchronization channel; col. 22 lines 35, 39, 45: D channel, S channel: first and last 16 bits belong to D channel; central 34 bits belong to S channel).
- As per claim 40, Dudek teaches the method of claim 39 wherein said transmitting occurs during a physical handshaking process (Dudek paragraph 136: "The D channel code word transmitted by the base station 3 includes a PID field in which a "portable part identification" code is placed by the base station 3 identifying the specific handset 11 it wishes to contact. The D channel code word also contains a LID field, in which the base station 3 places a "link identification" code."; D channel is sent while identifying a handset to contact and thus it is still handshaking, Col. 22 lines 35, 39, 45: D channel, S channel: first and last 16 bits belong to D channel; central 34 bits belong to S channel).
- 47. As per claim 41, Dudek teaches the method of claim 39 wherein said transmitting occurs after a physical handshaking process (Dudek paragraphs 135, 136: D channel is transmitted after

Art Unit: 2631

S channel synchronization and thus after handshaking; col. 22 lines 35, 39, 45: D channel, S channel: first and last 16 bits belong to D channel; central 34 bits belong to S channel).

- As per claim 42, Dudek teaches the method of claim 39, wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a firmware revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).
- As per claim 43, Dudek teaches the method of claim 39, wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a DSP revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).
- As per claim 45, Dudek teaches a modem capable of providing identification data, said modem comprising: a call module capable of placing a call to a remote device; a handshaking module capable of entering a physical handshaking process with said remote device; and a transmitter capable of transmitting a modem manufacturer parameter to said remote device during said physical handshaking process; wherein, after said transmitter transmits said modem manufacturer parameter to said remote device, said handshaking module completes said physical handshaking process to establish a data communication session between with said remote device (discussed above with respect to other claims).
- 51. As per claim 46, Dudek teaches the modem of claim 45 wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a DSP revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID

Art Unit: 2631

code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).

As per claim 47, Dudek teaches the modem of claim 45, wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is a firmware revision of said modem (Dudek paragraph 166: "... the LID code may identify the telepoint company or system with which the handset is registered ... "; paragraph 15: "Depending on the burst structure being used, as will be described later, each burst comprises either 68 bits or 66 bits."; revision between 66 bits and 68 bits).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 53. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 54. Claims 33, 37, 44, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dudek.
- 55. (103) As per claim 33, Dudek teaches the method of claim 30. What Dudek does not teach is, wherein said modern manufacturer parameter is transmitted as part of V.8 (Dudek col. 1 first paragraph: "Aspects of the invention are useful in so called "CT2" cordless telephone systems, and systems in accordance with the British Department of Trade and Industry specification MPT 1375. The May 1989 version of specification MPT 1375 is incorporated herein by reference."). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2631

invention to modify Dudek to teach V.8 instead of MPT 1375. One would be motivated to do so where equipment is recommended or required to comply with V.8 standards.

- 56. (103) As per claim 37, Dudek teaches the method of claim 34. What Dudek does not teach is wherein said error correction process is based on V.42 Recommendation (Dudek col. 1 first paragraph: "Aspects of the invention are useful in so called "CT2" cordless telephone systems, and systems in accordance with the British Department of Trade and Industry specification MPT 1375. The May 1989 version of specification MPT 1375 is incorporated herein by reference."). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dudek to teach V.42 instead of MPT 1375. One would be motivated to do so where equipment is recommended or required to comply with V.42 standards.
- 57. (103) As per claim 44, Dudek teaches the method of claim 39. What Dudek does not teach is wherein said transmitting occurs during an error correction process based on V.42 Recommendation. (Dudek col. 1 first paragraph: "Aspects of the invention are useful in so called "CT2" cordless telephone systems, and systems in accordance with the British Department of Trade and Industry specification MPT 1375. The May 1989 version of specification MPT 1375 is incorporated herein by reference."). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dudek to teach V.42 instead of MPT 1375. One would be motivated to do so where equipment is recommended or required to comply with V.42 standards.
- 58. (103) As per claim 48, Dudek teaches the modem of claim 45. What Dudek does not teach is wherein said modem manufacturer parameter is transmitted as part of V.8. (Dudek col. 1 first paragraph: "Aspects of the invention are useful in so called "CT2" cordless telephone systems, and systems in accordance with the British Department of Trade and Industry

Art Unit: 2631

Page 15

specification MPT 1375. The May 1989 version of specification MPT 1375 is incorporated herein by reference."). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dudek to teach V.8 instead of MPT 1375. One would be motivated to do so where equipment is recommended or required to comply with V.8 standards.

## Allowable Subject Matter

59. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2631

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (703) 305-0194. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Wed and Thurs after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (703) 306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PK

MOHAMMAD H. GHAYOUR PRIMARY EXAMINER